

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/070,904	03/13/2002	Sylvain Ballandras	220276US2PCT	3011	
22850 7	7590 02/06/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2834		
			DATE MAN ED. 02/06/2004		

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A Company of the Comp		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
	<b>&gt;</b>	10/070,904	BALLANDRAS ET A	L.
Office Action Summary		Examin r	Art Unit	
		Mark Budd	2834	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	corresp ndence addi	ress
P ri d fo	• •		//O) EDOM	
THE I - External - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this com  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	larch 2002.	·	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	•	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under L	nce except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the r 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 19-36 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	•	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 19-36 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers		•	
<i>,</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			D 4 424/d\
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
_	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer, reductive attached One	se Action of Torrit 1	
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		)(a) (d) or (f)	
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureates the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78.  The translation of the foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic for the translation of the foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic for the foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic for the foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic for the foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for document language process of the priority document language process of the priority document la	ts have been received.  Its have been received in Application of the certified copies not received the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 strates and application has been received the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 strates application has been received the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 strates application has been received application has been received the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1.	ation No ived in this National Sived. 9(e) (to a provisional or in an Application Seceived. 20 and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet.
Attachm r	,			
2) Notice	ce of R fer nces Cit d (PTO-892) ce of Draftsp rson's Pat nt Drawing R view (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s al Pat nt Application (PTO	-

Application/Control Number: 10/070,904

Art Unit: 2834

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims drawn to an acoustic wave device, classified in class 310, subclass 359.

11. Claims 1546, drawn to a method of making a piezo-electric device, classified in class 29, subclass 25.35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the transducer of Group I can be made by methods other than those of Group II, e.g. both electrodes could be formed after the polarization step.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

PRAMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 239